Social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic can increase physical inactivity and the global burden of cardiovascular disease
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Peçanha T, Goessler KF, Roschel H, Gualano B. Social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic can increase physical inactivity and the global burden of cardiovascular disease. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 318: H1441–H1446, 2020. First published May 15, 2020; doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00268.2020.—Emerging data indicate a substantial decrease in global physical activity levels during the period of social isolation adopted worldwide to contain the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Confinement-induced decreases in physical activity levels and increases in sedentary behavior may provoke a rapid deterioration of cardiovascular health and premature deaths among populations with increased cardiovascular risk. Even short-term (1–4 wk) inactivity has been linked with detrimental effects in cardiovascular function and structure and increased cardiovascular risk factors. In this unprecedented and critical scenario, home-based physical activity programs arise as a clinically relevant intervention to promote health benefits to cardiac patients. Many studies have demonstrated the feasibility, safety, and efficacy of different models of home-based exercise programs in the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular diseases and major cardiovascular events among different populations. This body of knowledge can inform evidence-based policies to be urgently implemented to counteract the impact of increased physical inactivity and sedentary behavior during the COVID-19 outbreak, thereby alleviating the global burden of cardiovascular disease.
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Home isolation is likely to result in a profound decrease in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity levels and increase in sedentary behavior, e.g., “any waking behavior characterized by an energy expenditure of ≤1.5 MET while in a sitting or reclining posture” (48). Although the actual impact of worldwide isolation on physical activity levels remains to be shown, Fitbit, Inc., an American company that develops wearable devices that track an individual’s physical activity level, has recently shared physical activity data from 30 million users that demonstrates a substantial reduction (ranging from 7% to 38%) in average step counts in almost all countries during the week ending March 22, 2020, as compared with the same period last year (20). This preliminary evidence suggests that quarantine may provoke a substantial decline in physical activity levels, similar to that which is observed in other confined conditions, such as spaceflight exploration and incarceration (1, 5). Several levels of evidence, from epidemiology to molecular sciences, suggest that the potential increase in physical inactivity induced by the pandemic may have substantial repercussions for cardiovascular health.

The seminal study by Morris et al. (35) showing increased cardiovascular mortality in bus drivers (inactive) compared with bus conductors (active) from the London double-decker buses encouraged a number of epidemiological studies that demonstrated the links between physical inactivity and cardiovascular risk. Of interest is that the adjusted relative risks of coronary heart disease and overall mortality associated with physical inactivity are 1.16 (1.04–1.30) and 1.28 (1.21–1.36), respectively (32). More recently, evidence from clinical trials and mechanistic studies have demonstrated that physical inactivity can lead to cardiovascular abnormalities as well as their molecular mechanisms. Data from bed rest studies, a model of muscle unloading and inactivity, have demonstrated that a few weeks of immobilization promote cardiac atrophy and dysfunction (41), luminal narrowing of peripheral vessels (38), arterial stiffening (36), and impairment of endothelium-dependent function in the macro- (36, 44) and microcirculation (11). Even less severe models of physical inactivity have shown similar outcomes. For instance, Teixeira et al. (45) observed a large reduction in popliteal artery flow-mediated dilation (~56% decline) after 1 wk of reduced daily physical activity (from >10,000 to <5,000 steps/wk). Using a similar step reduction model, Boyle et al. (8) observed a reduction in the brachial artery diameter and an increase in markers of vascular apoptosis and activation within 3–5 days of inactivity. There is

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious disease of pandemic proportions, with more than 4,000,000 cases and approximately 278,000 deaths reported worldwide as of May 12, 2020 (50). COVID-19 is a public health emergency of international concern (51), and as such, it requires coordinated, protective responses from national and supranational entities around the world. The absence of specific preventive or therapeutic medical interventions for COVID-19 infection, alongside its rapid transmission rate and apparently substantial undocumented contamination and transmission numbers, has led to the scientifically sound recommendation that individuals must stay home to avoid social interactions and restrain the disease spread, thereby reducing pressure on health systems worldwide. Despite being effective for infection control, this strategy has potential behavioral and clinical repercussions.
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evidence that the detrimental effects of inactivity on cardiovascular function take place in an even shorter period. Studies have shown that 3–6 h of uninterrupted sitting is sufficient to cause significant deterioration of vascular function (9, 46, 47). Figure 1A summarizes the detrimental effects of physical inactivity on cardiovascular health.

Mechanisms underlying inactivity-induced cardiovascular dysfunction may involve alterations at different levels. Hypokinesia has been linked with increased autophagy (33) and reduced rates of cardiac muscle protein turnover (4), leading to myocardial cell atrophy (33). These alterations may superimpose on increased oxidative stress and inflammation, which have also been shown to increase as physical activity level decreases (43). As for the vasculature, inactivity reduces mean and anterograde shear rates (46, 47) and increases oscillatory shear levels (47), creating a proatherogenic environment (9, 30). Importantly, inactivity-induced increases in cardiovascular risk may be exacerbated by other inactivity-induced alterations, such as muscle wasting, increased visceral fat, hyperglycemia, and dyslipidemia (6, 28, 29).

Achieving minimum physical activity levels (i.e., 150 min of moderate to vigorous physical activity, 75 min/wk of intensive physical activity, or a combination of both) and reducing sedentary behavior in times of social isolation have become a challenge and, at the same time, a necessity for everyone. Over the last decades, several studies have demonstrated the cardiovascular benefits promoted by exercise in cardiac individuals, who are often physically inactive (25, 39). With the necessary closure of gyms, athletic centers, and parks as part of social distancing measures adopted worldwide (which potentially adds to the decline in physical activity), the use of easily implemented, home-based exercise programs becomes relevant to mitigate the deleterious effects of increased inactivity. Importantly, home-based physical activity interventions have been demonstrated to be feasible, safe, and effective for people with cardiovascular disease (Table 1). These interventions may be highly relevant to restore preisolation physical activity levels and to counteract the negative effects of inactivity on the cardiovascular system. In this scenario, remotely supervised home-based interventions have been shown to significantly

Fig. 1. Consequences of physical inactivity induced by home isolation on cardiovascular health (A) and the benefits of home-based physical activity in offsetting cardiovascular disturbances induced by inactivity (B). Free vectors provided by macrovector/Freepik.
improve physical fitness in coronary artery disease and heart failure patients (2, 3, 40, 42) and to be equally effective in center-based interventions for secondary prevention (2). This type of training has shown to be safe for stable low-to-moderate risk cardiac patients and hypertensive individuals (26, 40, 42, 49). Technological advancements over recent years have boosted the emergence of a multitude of tools, such as physical activity trackers and applications for smartwatches and phones, that can help to improve the delivery of supervised physical activity interventions. These advancements may also

---

### Table 1. Overview of interventions to potentially counteract physical inactivity and sedentary behavior in cardiac patients subjected to social isolation during the COVID-19 outbreak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Studied</th>
<th>Intervention Protocols</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-MI (49), HF (27, 40, 42), HTN (26)</strong></td>
<td>Frequency: 1 (42), 3 (27, 42), 4 (26, 42), and 5 (40, 42, 49) days/wk</td>
<td>Type: m-Health/e-Health applications (40, 49), mentoring sessions, web consultation, phone call (26, 42), email (26, 42), wearable devices (42), e-Health alert application messages (34)</td>
<td>SBP: ↔ (49) ↓ (26) DBP: ↔ (49) ↓ (26) LDL: ↔ (49) ↓ (26) HDL: ↔ (49) Body composition: ↓ (49) Exercise capacity: ↑ (27, 40) 6MWT: ↑ (27, 40, 49) Quality of life: ↑ (27, 49)</td>
<td>HB programs are as effective as CB to maintain exercise capacity and cardiovascular health High intervention adherence Effective alternative for patients unable to attend traditional CR program Access to a health coach may improve communication, social support, education, and adherence to exercise HB exercises can be easily integrated with regular home routine</td>
<td>Long-term effects of HB are largely unknown Applicable only to stable cardiac patients Safety of HB interventions in high risk patients is unknown Worse control of exercise intensity Protocols using subjective monitoring methods (i.e., logbooks) may be not reliable to measure patient’s progress over training Lack of standardization of HB programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HTN (18, 19), CHF (31), MI (7), CAD (7)</strong></td>
<td>Frequency: 3 (7, 18, 19, 31) days/wk</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Body composition: ↓ (18, 19) SBP: ↓ (18, 19) DBP: ↓ (18, 19) Total Cholesterol: ↓ (19) HDL: ↑ (19) Triglycerides: ↓ (19) Glucose: ↓ (19) Exercise capacity: ↔ (compliant*) (7) ↓ (noncompliant*) (7) Walking capacity: ↑ (31) Quality of life: ↑ (31)</td>
<td>Low-cost (i.e., do not require health professional, expensive materials and/or monitors); Easy to implement at daily routine; Applicable for low income patients and in low income countries; Empowerment of the patient to self-monitor and regulate exercise intensity; Do not need follow-up of physician, physiotherapist or physical trainer Low intervention adherence and attendance Worse control of exercise intensity Adherence is totally dependent of patient’s self-regulation Not applicable for high risk or unstable/ uncontrollable patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-HTN (34), HTN (23, 34), stroke (15)</strong></td>
<td>Type: educational behavioral program (23); counselling session (15); sit less messages (15), and e-Health intervention (34)</td>
<td>Weekly group meeting (23), home-visit (15), phone call (15), and e-Health alert application messages (34)</td>
<td>Body composition: ↔ (23) SBP: ↓ (23, 34) DBP: ↓ (23, 34) Pain and spasticity (VAS): ↓ (15) Sitting time: ↓ (15) Standing time: ↑ (15) Stepping: ↑ (15)</td>
<td>Can be safely applied across a range of cardiovascular conditions Simple daily reminders to break up sitting time are feasible and low-cost and have positive impacts on CV health Possibility to replace sedentary time with simple daily life activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAD, coronary artery disease; CB, center-based; CHF, coronary heart failure; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; CV, cardiovascular; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eHealth, digital health; HB, home based; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HTN, hypertension; HF, heart failure; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; mHealth, mobile health; MI, myocardial infarction; 6MWT, 6-min walk test; SBP, systolic blood pressure; VAS, visual analogue scale for pain. ↑, Increase; ↓, decrease; ↔, no change. *Groups were classified as compliant (completed ≥3 days/wk of unsupervised exercise after CR discharge) and noncompliant (not completed the unsupervised program after discharge).
be relevant to expand the reach of such interventions to populations that are unable to attend face-to-face counseling. However, the lack of standardization of home-based exercise intervention to cardiovascular disease populations remains a matter of concern that should be addressed over the next years.

Unsupervised, self-regulated interventions may also offer a viable option to increase physical activity levels and to improve cardiovascular health in populations with stable cardiovascular disease or at increased cardiovascular risk. For instance, Lans et al. (31) observed that 12 mo of home-based low-intensity resistance exercise was effective in improving exercise capacity and quality of life in patients with chronic heart failure, with improvements observed as early as within 3 mo of implementation. Similarly, 4 mo of unsupervised home exercise was reported to improve cardiovascular risk profile in hypertensive individuals (19). Adherence to nonsupervised interventions may be a matter of concern; however, preliminary evidence indicates acceptable adherence levels (~50–80%) (31), which could be further increased by patient education and enhanced social support alongside the intervention (21). The use of these behavioral strategies is yet to be tested in the context of home-based unsupervised exercise programs in cardiovascular disease populations. Figure 1B summarizes the benefits promoted by home-based physical activity on cardiovascular health.

Further to home-based exercise training programs, interventions focused on breaking up sedentary behavior are clinically relevant, given that time spent in sedentary activities has been reported to be a strong risk factor for all-cause mortality and that this is independent of physical inactivity. A large-scale, prospective study using isotemporal substitution modeling has demonstrated 24% lower risk of mortality from cardiovascular causes when 30 min/day of sedentary time is replaced with 30 min/day of light-intensity physical activity (13). Data from laboratory studies substantiate the preventive role of breaking sedentary time on cardiometabolic health. For instance, 3- to 5-min bouts of low-intensity walking or body weight resistance exercises every 30–60 min can prevent sitting-associated impairment to vascular and metabolic function in different populations, including obese and type 2 diabetic patients (10, 12, 46). A 4-day intervention targeting the replacement of sitting time with walking and standing improved insulin sensitivity and reduced 24-h glucose levels in type 2 diabetic patients (14). Reducing sedentary time is also of interest to populations undergoing cardiac rehabilitation; in stroke patients, for example, the clinical improvements promoted by exercise and rehabilitation programs may be lost if patients spend too much time sitting (16). Unfortunately, there is less information on the cardiovascular effects of long-term chronic interventions to reduce sedentary behavior. The use of smartwatch and physical activity trackers have shown to be feasible and effective in reducing sedentary time and improving cardiovascular parameters in populations with increased cardiovascular risk (24). However, questions remain about the acceptability and long-term adherence to these strategies in populations with cardiovascular disease (24). Multicomponent behavioral interventions aimed at reducing sedentary time and increasing physical activity levels have also shown promising results in different populations (22); however, the cardiovascular benefits of such strategies are yet to be comprehensively tested in populations with cardiovascular disease or risk factors.

Social isolation due to the COVID-19 outbreak potentially increases physical inactivity, which may seriously increase the global burden of cardiovascular disease. Using population attributed fractions (PFA), researchers concluded physical inactivity to be responsible for 9% of all-cause mortality, corresponding to ~5.3 million deaths in 2018. This makes physical inactivity a risk factor for poor health outcomes comparable with obesity and smoking (17, 37). Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the global prevalence of physical inactivity among patients with coronary heart diseases (CHD) varied from 22.3 to 40.5% across the world (32). Eliminating physical inactivity was estimated to avert 399,000 CHD deaths. We used the same estimates provided by these authors to calculate that all-cause and CHD deaths may scale up by ~535,000, ~1.3 million, and ~2.7 million and by ~42,000, ~105,000, and ~210,250 if inactivity hypothetically increases by 10, 25, or 50%, respectively, during the COVID-19 pandemic (Fig. 2). It is also possible to conjecture that the deleterious effects of inactivity on cardiovascular health will be proportional to the period of inactivity to which individuals will be exposed. As cardiac patients are considered a vulnerable group, it is possible that they may be required to undergo more extreme forms of physical distancing, known as “cocooning,” throughout the pandemic, potentially exacerbating the deleterious effects of physical inactivity on cardiovascular outcomes. Moreover, hospitalized individuals due to COVID-19 will likely face a long road to recovery after prolonged bed rest in the intensive care unit or after being on a ventilator. It is also possible to speculate that physical impairments due to hospitalization may be difficult to resolve in some individuals, predisposing them to a poorer cardiovascular prognosis and scaling up morbimortality.

To avoid premature deaths related to physical inactivity, health care professionals and public health agencies should act together in promoting physical activity during quarantine. Although home-based programs involving low- or moderate-to vigorous-intensity exercises have been shown to be safe and effective for patients with stable cardiovascular diseases, caution should be exercised when prescribing home-based training for high-risk patients. For those, closer remote supervision using telecommunication might be necessary. This is indeed a

![Fig. 2. Potential increases in total (○) and coronary heart disease (CHD; ■) deaths as a function of increases in physical inactivity (32).](image-url)
challenge for low- and middle-income countries and vulnerable populations, with less (if any) access to internet and technological tools (e.g., wearable devices, health monitors, and physical activity apps). In such a case, the use of text messages and phone calls might be an effective and feasible contact channel between healthcare professionals and patients. Government actions should reinforce physical activity promotion at a population level as a health care priority, with particular emphasis on vulnerable individuals, who may require more restrictive and longer-duration isolation measures to avoid virus transmission, such as elders and patients with cardiovascular diseases. Scientific societies, including the American Heart Association, the American College of Sports Medicine, and the World Health Organization, have launched practical materials to educate people on the relevance of home-based exercises. This initiative needs to be widely spread to society and quickly assimilated by health care professionals managing cardiac patients.

The recognition of the impact of physical inactivity on the burden of cardiovascular disease and the evidence-based interventions to counteract it is of paramount relevance to inform coordinated public health actions aimed at tackling both COVID-19 and inactivity pandemics simultaneously.
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